

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/542,127	12/20/2005	Yamini Bhushan Tripathi	4544-052144	8251
	7590 08/29/200 AW FIRM, P.C.	EXAMINER		
700 KOPPERS BUILDING			TATE, CHRISTOPHER ROBIN	
	436 SEVENTH AVENUE PITTSBURGH, PA 15219		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1655	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	08/29/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/542,127	TRIPATHI, YAMINI BHUSHAN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Christopher R. Tate	1655				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	J. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar						
closed in accordance with the practice under E						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
S)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the I	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	_					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	• •					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	*	ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	` ','	ad ·				
See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P					
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	••				

Application/Control Number: 10/542,127

Art Unit: 1655

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-6 are presented for examination on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a method for treating atherosclerosis and hyperlipidemia and/or reducing the risk thereof, does not reasonably provide enablement for preventing these clinical conditions. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

Applicants have reasonably disclosed/demonstrated (thru *in vivo* studies using rabbit models) that the instantly claimed polyherbal formulation is useful as a therapeutic preparation in treating atherosclerosis and hyperlipidemia (as well as reducing the risk thereof). The prior art also recognizes that at least some of the claimed herbals are useful for such purpose (as well as reducing the risk thereof) - see, e.g., art cited in the attached PTO-892. 'However, the claims also encompass using the claimed polyherbal formulation to prevent atherosclerosis and hyperlipidemia which is clearly beyond the scope of the instantly disclosed/claimed invention. Please note that the term "prevent" is an absolute definition which means to stop from occurring and, thus, requires a higher standard for enablement than does "treating" or "reducing the risk", especially since it is notoriously well accepted in the medical art that the vast majority of

Application/Control Number: 10/542,127

Art Unit: 1655

afflictions/disorders suffered by mankind cannot be totally prevented with current therapies

(other than certain vaccination regimes) - including preventing such disorders as atherosclerosis

and hyperlipidemia (which are clearly not recognized in the medical art as being totally

preventable conditions).

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 is rendered vague and indefinite because it fails to recite any operative amount of the claimed herbals. Therefore, it is unclear if the plurality of herbals within the polyherbal preparation is/are each active agents therein, if each is/are merely some type of inert agents, and/or if each is/are is present in very small amounts representing perhaps a contaminant or residue. The claimed herbals within the polyherbal preparation are each deemed to be essential elements of the invention and, as such, they should be clearly defined (functionally) in the claim language itself. Accordingly, it is suggested that the phrase --an effective amount of-- be inserted before the word "mixture" (line 2) or, alternatively, that the limitations recited in any one of dependent claims 2, 4, or 5 be appropriately incorporated into claim 1, to clarify this ambiguity. In addition, as a formal matter, the genus "Termenalia" (as recited in claims 1 and 5) appears to be misspelled. Based upon an extensive search of the patent and non-patent literature, the apparent spelling of this genus is --Terminalia--.

Application/Control Number: 10/542,127

Art Unit: 1655

Claims 2 and 5 recite the limitation "the constituents" in lines 1-2 of each. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in these claims.

Claim 3 is rendered vague and indefinite by the phrase "A polyherbal preparation as claimed in claim 1 comprising Rubia cordifolia ..." because it is unclear by this recitation if Applicants are attempting to define some type of alternative embodiment or if the polyherbal preparation recited in claim 1 further comprises the herbals listed in claim 3. Accordingly, it is suggested that the term --further-- be inserted before "comprising" (line 1).

Claim 4 is rendered vague and indefinite by the phrase "A polyherbal preparation as claimed in claim 3 wherein the following constituents are added" (lines 1-2) because claim 3 does not recite that the herbal constituents listed therein are added. Therefore this phrase lacks adequate antecedent basis. Further, due to this lack of antecedent basis, it is unclear as to what the constituents of claim 4 are being added to - e.g., to the polyherbal preparation of claim 1, or to something else?

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite in that it fails to point out what is included or excluded by the claim language. This claim is an omnibus type claim. Accordingly, the limitations of claim 6 are completely unclear, as are the metes and bounds thereof.

The claims are free of the art. The prior art of record does not teach or reasonably suggest a polyherbal preparation (for treating/reducing the risk of atherosclerosis and hyperlipidemia) comprising the recited herbal constituents therein.

Art Unit: 1655

Conclusion

No claim is allowed.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher R. Tate whose telephone number is (571) 272-0970. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thur, 6:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terry McKelvey can be reached on (571) 272-0775. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Christopher R. Tate Primary Examiner Art Unit 1655